

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

In re J.G., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile  
Court Law.

MERCED COUNTY HUMAN SERVICES  
AGENCY,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

C.V.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F059830

(Merced Super. Ct. No. JP000030)

**OPINION**

**THE COURT**\*

APPEAL from orders of the Superior Court of Merced County. Harry L. Jacobs,  
Commissioner.

Leslie A. Barry, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and  
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

\* Before Cornell, Acting P.J., Dawson, J. and Kane, J.

-ooOoo-

C.V. (mother) appealed from an order terminating her parental rights (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26) to her two-year-old son. After reviewing the entire record, mother's court-appointed appellate counsel informed this court she had found no arguable issues to raise in this appeal. Counsel requested and this court granted leave for mother to personally file a letter setting forth a good cause showing that an arguable issue of reversible error does exist. (*In re Phoenix H.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 835.)

Mother has since submitted a letter describing her remorse for the harm her son suffered and her current circumstances. She asks for a chance to be a better parent to her child. Her letter does not address the proceedings in the trial court, let alone set forth a good cause showing that an arguable issue of reversible error does exist. (*In re Phoenix H., supra*, 47 Cal.4th 835.) We observe in this regard that an appealed-from judgment or order is presumed correct. (*Denham v. Superior Court* (1970) 2 Cal.3d 557, 564.) It is up to an appellant to raise claims of reversible error or other defect and present argument and authority on each point made. If an appellant does not do so, the appeal should be dismissed. (*In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952, 994.) Here, mother does not raise any claim of error or other defect against the termination order from which she appeals. Thus, we have no reason to reverse or even modify the orders in question. (*Ibid.*)

### **DISPOSITION**

This appeal is dismissed.